

**Joint Civil Society Statement**  
**Supporting the Proposed Charter for the**  
**Non-Commercial Stakeholders Group (NCSG)**  
**Submitted by ICANN's Non-Commercial Users Constituency (NCUC)**  
15 April 2009

---

On March 16, 2009 members of ICANN's Non-Commercial User Constituency (NCUC) filed a petition<sup>1</sup> to form the Non-Commercial Stakeholders Group (NCSG) as part of ICANN's ongoing GNSO restructuring efforts, which are intended to, in part, correct the under-representation of non-commercial interests in the ICANN policy development process. One significant factor in why more non-commercial civil society organizations do not engage at ICANN is the great disparity in structural power between commercial and non-commercial interests at ICANN, and we welcome this opportunity to correct this imbalance via the GNSO restructuring.

We, the under-signed non-commercial civil society organizations, support the NCUC's NCSG petition because it will create an organizational structure that can accommodate the full breadth and diversity of non-commercial interests concerned with domain name policy. The NCSG petition encourages inclusiveness and cooperation among differing viewpoints, facilitates minority representation, fosters the generation of new policy proposals, and establishes councilors and officers that are representative of and serve the needs of the entire Stakeholder Group (SG) membership. The NCSG petition also maintains a light-weight and adaptable framework as is required for effective policy development at ICANN.

In contrast, SG petitions that attempt to fix a pre-determined number of GNSO Council seats per constituency are inadequate at representing the great diversity of non-commercial interests at ICANN. Such a constituency-based representation model encourages competition and divisiveness

---

<sup>1</sup> NCUC petition for a Non-Commercial Stakeholder Group (NCSG) is available at: <http://gns0.icann.org/en/improvements/ncsg-petition-charter.pdf>. The Executive Summary of the NCUC petition is available at: <http://gns0.icann.org/en/improvements/executive-summary-ncsg-proposal.pdf>

among constituencies in the NCSG by setting up a zero-sum game in which constituencies fight in internal power struggles and over scarce resources. Such a model cannot be a long-term solution and requires constant re-negotiation over limited council seats every time a new constituency is approved, tying up scarce NCSG resources and energy with internal disputes rather than shared goals. A constituency-based representation model at the GNSO presents an invitation to gaming with arbitrary groupings into imposed constituencies. Instead of a system for developing the best policies that can reach the broadest acceptance in the SG, such a model encourages special-interest groups to consider only their own particular narrow agendas. It would encourage fragmentation among non-commercial interests with an incentive of gaining more power on the GNSO Council than may reflect actual support in the broader community. Under constituency-based representation models, a constituency of 20 narrowly focused individuals is entitled to the same representation on the GNSO Council as a constituency of 2,000 members. While competition can be a valuable tool in some cases, the new GNSO model is intended to work through consensus building, compromise, and cooperation among competing viewpoints.

We believe the NCUC NCSG Charter recognizes the problems of constituency-based representation and has addressed them through democratic SG-wide elections for GNSO Councilors, balanced with a low threshold for recommending the creation of Working Groups to generate policy proposals. Other GNSO Stake-holder Groups have also recognized the problems of a constituency-based model and proposed solutions similar to NCUC's petition of democratic SG-wide elections for GNSO Council seats.

NCUC's NCSG petition provides a long-term solution that minimizes competition and rewards consensus-building and cooperation among constituencies. For the GNSO Councilor to win her seat she must gain the support of various constituencies within the NCSG membership. The NCSG will be much more effective as an SG with GNSO Councilors who understand the breadth of ICANN policy issues -- rather than only the issues that touch their particular constituency's "pet agenda" (or "*raison*

*d'être*”).

Thus the NCUC proposal accommodates the diversity of non-commercial interests and encourages GNSO Councilors to be broadly representative of the entire NCSG membership, while still enfranchising minority viewpoints and fostering a more inclusive policy agenda. For these reasons we support the proposed NCUC NCSG Charter and ask the ICANN Board of Directors to encourage wider non-commercial civil society participation at ICANN by doing the same. Thank you.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Organizations:**

African Commons Project (South Africa)  
AGEIA DENSI (Argentina)  
AGEIA DENSI (Brasil)  
Akiba Uhaki (Kenya)  
Aktion Freiheit statt Angst (Germany)  
Alfa-REDI (International)  
APWKomitel - Association of Community Internet Center (Indonesia)  
Appui Mutuel Pour le Développement (Belgium)  
ARTICLE 19 (International)  
Association for Progressive Communications (International)  
Asociatia pentru Tehnologie si Internet (Romania)  
Asociación por los Derechos Civiles (Argentina)  
Bangladesh NGOs Network for Radio and Communication (BNNRC) (Bangladesh)  
Campaign for Press and Broadcasting Freedom (UK)  
Canadian Internet Policy and Public Interest Clinic (CIPPIC) (Canada)  
Centre for Community Informatics Research, Development and Training (Canada)  
Center for Digital Democracy (USA)  
Center for Tecnology and Society (CTS) at Fundação Getulio Vargas (Brazil)  
Centre for Independent Journalism (Malaysia)  
Centre for Internet and Society - Bangalore (India)  
Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility (CPSR) (International)  
Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility-Perú (CPSR-Perú) (Perú)  
Electronic Frontier Finland (Finland)  
Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF) (International)  
European Digital Rights Initiative (EDRI) (Europe)  
Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) (International)  
Essential Action (USA)  
Foundation for Media Alternatives (Philippines)  
Free Software Foundation Europe (Europe)  
FreePress (USA)  
Freedom for IP (USA)  
Fundación Comunica (Uruguay)  
ICT Consumers Association of Kenya (Kenya)

Imagining the Internet Center at Elon University (USA)  
Information and Communications University (Korea)  
Instituto Brasileiro de Direito da Informática (IBDI) (Brazil)  
Internet Governance Project (International)  
Internet Research and Innovation Institute (Lithuania)  
Internet Society Mauritius (Mauritius)  
Internews International (International)  
IP Justice (International)  
Knowledge Ecology International (International)  
Multilingual Internet Names Consortium (MINC) (International)  
PeaceNet Korea (Korea)  
Privacy Activism (USA)  
Privacy International (International)  
Privacy Rights Clearinghouse (USA)  
Public Knowledge (USA)  
RITS - Information Network for Civil Society (Brazil)  
SchoolNet Foundation (Bangladesh)  
University of Aarhus (Germany)  
University of the West Indies At-Large Structure (International)  
World Privacy Forum (USA)  
Yale Law School Information Society Project (USA)

**Individuals:**

Dr Graham Dutfield, Professor of International Governance, School of Law, University of Leeds (UK)

Anriette Esterhuysen, Executive Director, Association for Progressive Communications, APC

Daniel Dardailler, W3C and Web Foundation (France)

Divina Frau-Meigs, Professor, Media Sociology, University Sorbonne Nouvelle (France)

William J. Drake, Centre for International Governance, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies (Switzerland)

Bazlur Rahman, Member, Strategy Council, UN-Global Alliance for ICT and Development (Bangladesh)

Anna Fielder, Consumer Advocate (UK)

Andrea Naranjo, Anthropologist (Belgium)

Wolfgang Kleinwächter (Germany)

Bahareh Afghahi (Iran)

Lisa Horner, Global Partners (UK)

Rudi Rusdiah (Indonesia)

Dr. Lisa McLaughlin, Associate Professor, Director of Graduate Studies, Department of Communication, Miami University-Ohio (USA)

Michael Gurstein, Ph.D., Director, Centre for Community Informatics Research, Development and Training (Canada)

Hans Klein, Associate Professor of Public Policy, Georgia Institute of Technology (USA)

Claudia Padovani, University of Padova (University)

Dr Andrew A Adams, School of Systems Engineering, The University of Reading, (UK)

Alex Gakuru (Kenya)

David Farrar, Director, Curia Market Research Ltd, (New Zealand)

Rafik Dammak (Tunisia)

Christine Horz, YECREA rep., section Diaspora, Media and Migration (Germany)

Jaco L. Aizenman, Presidente, Registro de Activos Financieros - RAF (Costa Rica)

Prof. Hakikur Rahman, Post Doctoral Researcher, University of Minho (Portugal)

Lina ORNELAS, Member of the International Association of Privacy Professionals (IAPP) (Mexico)

Marco Toledo Bastos, University of São Paulo (Brazil)

Ledesma Piñeiro (Argentina)

Robin Gross, Attorney (USA)

Omar Kaminski (Brazil)

Jeff Chester (USA)

Virginia (Ginger) Paque, DiploFoundation (Venezuela)

Carlton Samuels (Jamaica)